The Schrödinger equation and negative energies

S. Bruce

Department of Physics, University of Concepcion,

P.O. Box 160C, Concepcion, Chile

(Dated: 06/30/08)

arXiv:0807.0025v1 [quant-ph] 30 Jun 2008

Abstract

We present a nonrelativistic wave equation for the electron in $(3+1)$-dimensions which includes negative-energy eigenstates. We solve this equation for three well-known instances, reobtaining the corresponding Pauli equation (but including negative-energy eigenstates) in each case.

PACS 03.65.Bz - Foundations, theory of measurements, miscellaneous theories.

PACS 03.65.Pm - Relativistic wave equations.

I. INTRODUCTION

Let K and K' be two inertial reference frames. The K' system has all its axes parallel to those of K and moves with velocity $\mathbf{v}$ relative to K. Assume E, $\mathbf{p}$ and E', $\mathbf{p}'$ to be the energy and momentum of a particle of mass m_0 in the K and K' frames, respectively. The Lorentz transformation for these quantities is [1]

$$\begin{cases} E = \mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{p} + E'\gamma^{-1} \ , \\ \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}' + (\gamma-1)\,\mathbf{v}\,(\mathbf{p}'\cdot\mathbf{v})\,/\mathbf{v}^2 + \gamma\mathbf{v}E'/c^2, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

with $\gamma = (1-\mathbf{v}^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$, which is also valid for eventual negative values of E and E'. Let us now assume that the particle is at rest in the K' system, i.e., $E' = m_0c^2$. Thus the first Eq.(1) becomes

$$E = \mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{p} + m_0c^2\ \gamma^{-1} \ . \tag{2}$$

It is interesting to note that this expression represents a *correspondence relation* for E_D: the energy eigenvalues of the free-particle Dirac Hamiltonian. To see this, let us calculate the eigenvalues of the Dirac Hamiltonian [2] in the energy-helicity eigenstates $\mid E_D, s\rangle$:

$$\widehat{H}_D \mid E_D, s\rangle = \left(c\alpha\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{p}} + m_0c^2\beta\right) \mid E_D, s\rangle = E_D \mid E_D, s\rangle. \tag{3}$$

To simplify our notation, let $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ be a matrix operator. Define $\langle\widehat{\mathcal{O}}\rangle_s \equiv \langle E_D, s \mid \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \mid E_D, s\rangle$**.** Thus

$$E_D \equiv \langle\widehat{H}_D\rangle_s = \langle c\alpha\rangle_s\cdot\mathbf{p} + \langle\beta\rangle_s\ m_0c^2 \ . \tag{4}$$

Therefore

$$\langle\left\{c\alpha\ ,\ \widehat{H}_D\right\}\rangle_s = c^2\mathbf{p} \ , \qquad \langle\left\{\beta\ ,\ \widehat{H}_D\right\}\rangle_s = m_0c^2 \ , \tag{5}$$

where the symbol $\{\ ,\ \}$ means *anti*commutation relation. By using $\alpha's$ and β matrices properties

$$\{\alpha_i\ ,\ \alpha_j\} = 2\delta_{ij}, \qquad \{\beta\ ,\ \alpha_j\} = 0, \qquad \beta^2 = I, \qquad i,j = 1,2,3, \tag{6}$$

we get

$$\langle c\alpha\rangle_s = \frac{c^2\mathbf{p}}{E_D} \ , \qquad \langle\beta\rangle_s = \frac{M_0c^2}{E_D} = \gamma^{-1} \ . \tag{7}$$

Hence, from Eq.(2) and Eq.(4), we make the following association

$$\left\langle\widehat{H}_D\right\rangle_s = E_D \to E, \qquad \langle c\alpha\rangle_s \to \mathbf{v}, \qquad \langle\beta\rangle_s \to \gamma^{-1}. \tag{8}$$

Notice that a separation of concepts is involved in Eqs.(2) and (4). The term $\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{p}$ in Eq.(2) is the scalar product of the velocity $\mathbf{v}$ of the frame K' (where the particle is at rest) and the momentum $\mathbf{p}$ of the particle, both relative to the (laboratory) frame K. They are associated with different objects. Therefore, at the quantum level, we have to distinguish between $\mathbf{p}/m_0$ and $\gamma\mathbf{v}$, although they have the same *mean* value. Then, *loosely* speaking, the Dirac Hamiltonian is *formally* linked with a Lorentz transformation itself.

II. DESCRIPTION OF A NONRELATIVISTIC SPIN-1/2 PARTICLE

To begin with, for a *strictly* nonrelativistic wave equation ($|\mathbf{v}| \ll c$), we are concerned with the expression

$$E = m_0c^2 + \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m_0}\ . \tag{9}$$

One alternative of (limited) *linearization* of this equation is done by first squaring Eq.(9):

$$E^2 = \left(m_0c^2 + \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m_0}\right)^2 = c^2\mathbf{p}^2 + m_0^2c^4 + \frac{\left(\mathbf{p}^2\right)^2}{4m_0^2}\ . \tag{10}$$

Then, taking the "square root" of Eq.(10), in the same way as the Dirac Hamiltonian is discerned from the Klein-Gordon equation, we find the wave equation [3]

$$\widehat{H}\Phi\left(\mathbf{r},t\right) = \left(c\alpha\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{p}} + m_0c^2\beta + i\beta\gamma_5\frac{\left(\alpha\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{p}}\right)^2}{2m_0}\right)\Phi\left(\mathbf{r},t\right) = i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi\left(\mathbf{r},t\right). \tag{11}$$

This resembles a single-particle wave equation with Hamiltonian

$$\widehat{H} = c\alpha\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{p}} + m_0c^2\beta + i\beta\gamma_5\frac{\left(\alpha\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{p}}\right)^2}{2m_0}\ . \tag{12}$$

Here

$$\gamma_k = -i\beta\alpha_k, \qquad \gamma_0 = \beta, \qquad \gamma_5 \equiv \gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3\gamma_0, \qquad \gamma_{\mu,5}^{\dagger} = \gamma_{\mu,5}\ , \tag{13}$$

where we use the metric signature $g(+---)$. Thus the wave function $\Phi\left(\mathbf{r},t\right)$ is (in Eq.(11)) a bispinor. Note that Eq.(11) introduces the degree of freedom for nonrelativistic antiparticles. In this way we have incorporated *negative*-energy eigenvalues in the nonrelativistic limit, so that the electron *Zitterbewegung* is also present.

Now we mimic the Dirac case in order to calculate mean values:

$$\langle\left\{\widehat{H},\alpha\right\}\rangle_s = 2c\mathbf{p}\ , \qquad \langle\left\{\widehat{H},\beta\right\}\rangle_s = 2m_0c\ , \qquad \langle\left\{\widehat{H},i\beta\gamma_5\right\}\rangle_s = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m_0}\ . \tag{14}$$

From these equations we get

$$\langle\alpha\rangle_s = \frac{c\mathbf{p}}{E}\ , \qquad \langle\beta\rangle_s = \frac{m_0c^2}{E}\ , \qquad \langle i\beta\gamma_5\rangle_s = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m_0E}\ . \tag{15}$$

Next we regard a nonrelativistic electron moving in the presence of a classical magnetic field. To establish the wave equation governing this system, we make the minimal replacement

$$\widehat{\mathbf{p}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{\Pi}} = \widehat{\mathbf{p}} - \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r},t) \tag{16}$$

in Eq.(11), obtaining

$$\widehat{H}\Phi\left(\mathbf{r},t\right) = \left\{c\alpha\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{\Pi}} + m_0c^2\beta + \frac{i}{2m_0}\beta\gamma_5\left(\alpha\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{\Pi}}\right)^2\right\}\Phi\left(\mathbf{r},t\right) = i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi\left(\mathbf{r},t\right). \tag{17}$$

Applying $\widehat{H}$ on the left hand side of Eq.(17), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{H}^2\Phi\left(\mathbf{r},t\right) &= \left\{\left(c\alpha\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{\Pi}}\right)^2 + m_0^2c^4 + \frac{1}{4m_0^2}\left(\left(\alpha\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{\Pi}}\right)^2\right)^2\right\}\Phi\left(\mathbf{r},t\right) \\ &= \left(m_0c^2 + \frac{1}{2m_0}\left(\alpha\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{\Pi}}\right)^2\right)\left(m_0c^2 + \frac{1}{2m_0}\left(\alpha\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{\Pi}}\right)^2\right)\Phi\left(\mathbf{r},t\right) = -\hbar^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\Phi\left(\mathbf{r},t\right).\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

From Eq.(18), the wave equation that determines the $\Phi\left(\mathbf{r},t\right)$ states is

$$\widehat{H}\Phi\left(\mathbf{r},t\right) = \left(m_0c^2 + \frac{1}{2m_0}\left(\alpha\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{\Pi}}\right)^2\right)\Phi\left(\mathbf{r},t\right) = i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi\left(\mathbf{r},t\right). \tag{19}$$

The upper and lower components of Φ fulfil, up to a minus (-) sign (for the negative-energy eigenstates), the same wave equation. A general solution to Eq.(19) is a linear combination of the eigenstates

$$\Phi_{\pm}\left(\mathbf{r},t\right) = \Phi\left(\mathbf{r}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}E_{\pm}t\right), \tag{20}$$

with $E_{\pm} = \pm\left|E\right|$.

Finally, we address the case of a nonrelativistic spin-1/2 particle moving in the presence of a scalar central potential. Equation (17) can be written as

$$\frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m_0} = E_{\pm} - m_0c^2\ . \tag{21}$$

For the free particle, Eq.(11) turns out to be

$$E_{\pm}\Phi\left(\mathbf{r}\right) = \left(c\alpha\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{p}} + m_0c^2\beta + i\beta\gamma_5\left(E_{\pm} - m_0c^2\right)\right)\Phi\left(\mathbf{r}\right). \tag{22}$$

Reordering the terms we find that

$$E_{\pm}\Gamma_1\Phi\left(\mathbf{r}\right) = \left(c\alpha\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{p}} + m_0c^2\Gamma_2\right)\Phi\left(\mathbf{r}\right), \tag{23}$$

where $\Gamma_{1,2}$ are Hermitian operators defined as

$$\Gamma_1 \equiv (I - i\beta\gamma_5)\,, \qquad \Gamma_2 \equiv (I + i\gamma_5)\,\beta, \tag{24}$$

with the properties

$$\Gamma_1^2 = 2\Gamma_1, \qquad \Gamma_2^2 = 2I, \qquad \Gamma_2\Gamma_1 = (I + \beta)\,(I - i\gamma_5)\,. \tag{25}$$

Equation (23) is now linear in $E_\pm$ and $\mathbf{p}$. It stands for a generalization of Lévy-Leblond's wave equation [4, 5, 6] since it also includes *negative*-energy eigenstates. Notice that $\Gamma_1/2$ is a *singular* (projection) matrix. Hence, it does not have an *inverse* operator. This means that, unlike Eq.(11), it is *not* possible to define an appropriate Hamiltonian from Eq.(23): expression (23) has to be treated just as a *wave equation*. Therefore a nonrelativistic electron moving in the presence of a central potential $V(r)$ satisfies

$$(E_\pm - eV(r))\,\Gamma_1\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \left(c\alpha\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{p}} + m_0c^2\Gamma_2\right)\Phi(\mathbf{r})\,. \tag{26}$$

Subsequently, multiplying Eq.(26) on the left by Γ_2, and using the fact that $(I + i\gamma_5)\,(I - i\gamma_5)\,/2 = I$, yields

$$(E_\pm - eV(r))\,\Gamma_2\Gamma_1\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \left(\Gamma_2 c\alpha\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{p}} + 2m_0c^2\right)\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_2\beta\,(I - i\gamma_5)\,\Phi(\mathbf{r})\,. \tag{27}$$

Given the fact that $\Gamma_2^2 = 2I$ and $\Gamma_2\beta = (I + i\gamma_5)$, it follows

$$(E_\pm - eV(r))\,(I + \beta)\,[\beta\,(I - i\gamma_5)\,\Phi(\mathbf{r})] = \left(\Gamma_2 c\alpha\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{p}}\Gamma_2 + 2m_0c^2\Gamma_2\right)\frac{1}{2}\,[\beta\,(I - i\gamma_5)\,\Phi(\mathbf{r})]\,, \tag{28}$$

where we have used the property $(I + \beta)\,\beta = (I + \beta)$ on the left hand side of Eq.(28). Next we define the bispinor

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \Gamma_2\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(\mathbf{r}) \\ \chi(\mathbf{r}) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{29}$$

Thus the wave function Ψ corresponds to a rotation of (the bispinor) Φ in the Hilbert space. This ensues from Eqs.(24) and (25), since Γ_2 is both unitary and Hermitian. Thus

$$(E_\pm - eV(r))\,(I + \beta)\,\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_2 c\alpha\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{p}}\Gamma_2 + m_0c^2\Gamma_2\right)\Psi(\mathbf{r})\,. \tag{30}$$

From the first Eq.(25) and the property $\{\alpha\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{p}}\ ,\ \Gamma_2\} = 0$, it follows that

$$(E_\pm - eV(r))\,(I + \beta)\,\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = \left(-c\alpha\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{p}} + m_0c^2\Gamma_2\right)\Psi(\mathbf{r})\,, \tag{31}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} 2\left(E_{\pm}-eV\left(r\right)\right)\phi\left(\mathbf{r}\right)=-c\sigma\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{p}}\chi\left(\mathbf{r}\right)+m_{0}c^{2}\left(\phi\left(\mathbf{r}\right)-i\chi\left(\mathbf{r}\right)\right), \\ 0=-c\sigma\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{p}}\phi\left(\mathbf{r}\right)+m_{0}c^{2}\left(i\phi\left(\mathbf{r}\right)-\chi\left(\mathbf{r}\right)\right). \end{cases} \tag{32}$$

The second equation (32) gives the spinor $\chi\left(\mathbf{r}\right)$ in terms of the spinor $\phi\left(\mathbf{r}\right)$:

$$\chi\left(\mathbf{r}\right)=-\left(im_{0}c^{2}+c\sigma\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{p}}\right)\phi\left(\mathbf{r}\right)/m_{0}c^{2}. \tag{33}$$

Inserting $\chi\left(\mathbf{r}\right)$ into the first Eq.(32), we finally arrive to

$$\widehat{H}\phi\left(\mathbf{r},t\right)=\left(m_{0}c^{2}+eV\left(r\right)+\frac{\left(\sigma\cdot\widehat{\mathbf{p}}\right)^{2}}{2m_{0}}\right)\phi\left(\mathbf{r},t\right)=i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi\left(\mathbf{r},t\right), \tag{34}$$

which is the 'Pauli' equation for this system, wherein negative-energy eigenstates have been included, up to a minus (-) sign on the right hand side of Eq.(34). Thus a general solution $\phi\left(\mathbf{r},t\right)$ to Eq.(34) is a linear combination of positive and negative-eigenstates $\phi\left(\mathbf{r}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}E_{+}t\right)$ and $\phi\left(\mathbf{r}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}E_{-}t\right)$.

Of course, it is possible to consider a general minimal interaction in Eq.(11):

$$\widehat{H}=c\widehat{p_{0}}\rightarrow c\widehat{p_{0}}-eV(\mathbf{r},t),\qquad\widehat{\mathbf{p}}\rightarrow\widehat{\mathbf{\Pi}}=\widehat{\mathbf{p}}-\frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r},t). \tag{35}$$

Thereafter, we go along the same steps as above. Alternatively, one can use either Eq.(19) or Eq.(34) to introduce the remaining piece of minimal coupling.

It is interesting to note that an *ubiquitous* $m_{0}c^{2}\times\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ additive term is present in equations (11), (31) and (34), amongst some others throughout the text. This fact might be paradoxically interpreted as follows: we need to introduce a *relativistic* concept (rest energy $=E_{0}=m_{0}c^{2}$) in order to be able to build a strictly *nonrelativistic* ($|\mathbf{v}|\ll c$) wave equation.

This work was supported by Dirección de Investigación, Universidad de Concepción, through grant P.I. 207.011.046-1.0.

---

[1] Jackson J. D., *Classical Electrodynamics*, 2nd ed., (Wiley, New York, 1975), p. 517.

[2] Mandl F. and Shaw G., *Quantum field theory*, (Wiley, New York, 1984), p. 66.

[3] Bruce S., *Nuovo Cimento* B**, 116** (2001) 111.

[4] Lévy-Leblond J. M., *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **6** (1967) 286.

[5] Hagen C. R., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **24,** (1970) 138.

[6] Hagen C. R., *Phys. Rev. A*, **70,** (2004) 012101-2.